

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/509,475	VAN DIJK ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Joseph D. Torres	2133

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application: New**

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Edward G. Goodman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 December 2006

**Time:** 1pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-16

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A telephone call was made to Edward G. Goodman on 12/8/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JOSEPH D. TORRES  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)